	ATES DISTRICT COUI DISTRICT OF NEW Y	ORK				
CARRIE FAUSEL,		X		Index No. 08 CV 03424		
Plaintiff,				ECF CASE		
-against-				CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO PART 130		
THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY, LLC.,						
	Defendant.	X				
The accompanying papers are served/filed/submitted pursuant to Section 130-1.1-a:						
[X]	Answer to Complaint	[X]	Jury Demand		
[X]	Defendant's First Dem For Interrogatories	and [X]	Demand for Collateral Source Information		
[X]	Demand for Expert Witness Information	[X]	Notice Declining Service Via Facsimile		
[X]	Notice To Take Deposi Upon Oral Examination		X]	Notice for Discovery and Inspection		
[X]	Demand for Medicals					
Dated: Albertson, New York July 21, 2008 By: ERIN D. ROACH, ESQ. AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS, ESQS. Attorneys for Defendant THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY LLC i/s/h/a THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY, LLC. 200 I.U. Willets Road Albertson, New York 11507 Tel: (516) 294-5433 Our File No : SAS 0795N8 EDR						

TO:

BAILLY & McMILLAN, LLP Attorneys for the Plaintiff CARRIE FAUSEL 244 Westchester Avenue White Plains, New York 10604-2919 (914) 684-9100

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
CARRIE FAUSEL,	Index No.: 08 CV 03424
Plaintiff,	ECF CASE
-against-	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY, LLC.,	
Defendant.	

The defendant, THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY LLC i/s/h/a THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY, LLC., by its attorneys, AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS, ESQS., as and for its Answer to the plaintiff's Complaint, alleges upon information and belief:

PARTIES and JURISDICTION

- 1. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "1" of the Complaint.
- 2. Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "2" of the Complaint.
- 3. Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated ""3" of the Complaint.
- Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated ""4" of the Complaint.
- 5. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "5" of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR AN ANSWER TO THE FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF AGAINST THE DEFENDANT

- 6. Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "6" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 7. Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "7" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 8. Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "8" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "9" of the Complaint.
- Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "10" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "11" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "12" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 13. Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "13" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.

- 14. Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "14" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 15. Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "15" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in 16. paragraphs designated "16" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court and otherwise denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the plaintiff's alleged damages.
- Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "17" of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST THE DEFENDANT

- 18. Defendants, THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY LLC, i/s/h/a THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY, LLC., repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every denial in answer to paragraphs "1" through "17" as if more specifically set forth in answer to paragraph designated "18" of the Complaint and each and every part thereof.
- 19. Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "19" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "20" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.

- 21. Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "21" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 22. Denies upon information and belief each and every allegation contained in paragraphs designated "22" of the Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court and otherwise denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the plaintiff's alleged damages.
- 23. Denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraph designated "23" of the Complaint.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

24. That the causes of action herein are barred by the applicable Statutes of Limitations.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

25. That there is no personal jurisdiction over the person of the answering defendant, there having been no proper service of process.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

26. Upon information and belief, plaintiff's economic loss, if any, specified in CPLR §4545 was or will be replaced or indemnified, in whole or in part, from collateral sources and the defendants are entitled to have the Court consider same in determining such special damages as provided in CPLR §4545.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The answering defendant, pursuant to CPLR §1412, alleges that if the plaintiffs 27. sustained any injuries or damages at the time and place alleged in plaintiff's Complaint, such injuries or damages were the result of the culpable conduct of the plaintiff, Carrie Fausel, because of her negligence or assumption of risk. Should it be found, however, that the answering defendant is liable to the plaintiff herein, any liability being specifically denied, then the answering defendant demands that any damages that are found be apportioned between and/or among the respective parties according to the degree of responsibility each is found to have had in the occurrence in proportion to the entire measure of responsibility for the occurrence.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

28. The answering defendant's liability, if any, is limited and governed by the provisions set forth in Article 16 of the CPLR.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

29. Any injuries or damages claimed were caused, in whole or in part, by the negligence or other culpable conduct of third parties over whom the answering defendant had no control or right to exercise such control.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

30. Plaintiff failed to mitigate, obviate, diminish or otherwise act to lessen or reduce the injuries, damages and disabilities alleged in plaintiff's Complaint.

AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

31. The plaintiff, Carrie Fausel, assumed all risks attendant with her conduct and failed to act as a reasonable and prudent person with regard to her own safety and well being.

AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

32. The plaintiff has failed to join necessary and indispensable parties in some or all of the causes of action who would be responsible in whole or in part for the damages alleged.

AS AND FOR A TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

33. In the event that any person or entity liable or claimed to be liable for the injuries alleged in this action has been given or may hereafter be given a release or covenant not to sue, the answering defendant is or will be entitled to protection under General Obligations Law §15-108 and the corresponding reduction of any damages which may be determined to be due against the answering defendant.

Dated: Albertson, New York July 21, 2008

ERIN D. ROACH, ESO.

AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS, ESQS.

Attorneys for Defendant

THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY LLC i/s/h/a THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY, LLC.

200 I.U. Willets Road Albertson, New York 11507

Tel: (516) 294-5433

Our File No.: SAS 0795N8 EDR

TO:

BAILLY & McMILLAN, LLP Attorneys for the Plaintiff **CARRIE FAUSEL** 244 Westchester Avenue White Plains, New York 10604-2919 (914)684-9100

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	X
CARRIE FAUSEL,	Index No.: 08 CV 03424
Plaintiff,	ECF Case
-against-	STATEMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 7.1
	TO RULE 7.1
THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET	
COMPANY, LLC.,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	
	X

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court, Southern District of New York and to enable judges and magistrates of the Court to evaluate possible disqualification of recusal, the undersigned counsel for Defendant, THE STOP & SHOP COMPANY LLC i/s/h/a THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY, LLC., governmental party, certifies that the following are parent corporations or are publicly held corporations that own ten percent of its stock:

AHOLD U.S.A., INC.

Dated: Albertson, New York July 21, 2008

> ERIN D. ROACH, ESQ. (EDR - 8244) AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS, ESQS. Attorneys for Defendant

THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY LLC i/s/h/a THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY, LLC.

200 I.U. Willets Road Albertson, New York 11507 Tel: (516) 294-5433

Our File No.: SAS 0795N8 EDR

TO:

BAILLY & McMILLAN, LLP Attorneys for the Plaintiff CARRIE FAUSEL 244 Westchester Avenue White Plains, New York 10604-2919 (914)684-9100

UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO- SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW	YORK						
CARRIE FAUSEL,	X	Index No.: 08 CV 03424					
Plaintiff,		ECF Case					
-against-		JURY DEMAND					
THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMAR COMPANY, LLC.,	KET						
Defendant.	X						
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the undersigned demands a trial by a jury composed of							
6 persons in the above entitled action.							
Dated: Albertson, New York July 21, 2008	By: LOULL ERIN D. ROACH, ESQ. (EDR - 8244) AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS, ESQS. Attorneys for Defendant THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY LLC i/s/h/a THE STOP & SHOP SUPERMARKET COMPANY, LLC. 200 I.U. Willets Road Albertson, New York 11507 Tel: (516) 294-5433 Our File No.: SAS 0795N8 EDR						
TO:							
BAILLY & McMILLAN, LLP Attorneys for the Plaintiff CARRIE FAUSEL 244 Westchester Avenue White Plains, New York 10604-291 (914)684-9100	9						